[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 13 September 2026 20:07
To: Crown Casework; planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk
Cc: [redacted] wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection of Application Reference: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

[redacted]

[redacted]

Application Reference: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Date: 13/09/2026

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to object to the proposed development at Site A, MOD Bicester.

My name is Jamie Bayliss [redacted]

My biggest concern is simply the number of people being proposed for the site. The proposal is for accommodation for up to 1,256 people, while Piddington currently has only around 350 residents.

That would mean putting more than three times the population of our entire village onto one site just outside it. I find the scale of that very difficult to understand or support, particularly in a rural area like ours.

This isn't just a small increase that the existing area can easily absorb. It would have a huge impact on the local roads, services, utilities and the character of the surrounding villages.

I am particularly concerned about road safety. [redacted] The roads around Piddington and the B4011/Widnell Lane route are not large roads, and I am concerned about the additional traffic that a development of this size would create, including staff, deliveries, minibuses and other vehicles.

I am also concerned about flooding and drainage. There are already concerns about surface water in the local area, and I don't think a development of this size should go ahead without being certain that the drainage system can cope and that it won't make flooding problems worse for people living nearby.

The same applies to water, sewage and electricity. Over 1,200 additional people would obviously put a huge amount of extra demand on local utilities. I am concerned that the existing infrastructure has not been properly demonstrated to be capable of supporting something on this scale.

[redacted] I feel very strongly that this proposal is simply far too large for this location. Piddington is a small village of around 350 people, and putting accommodation for up to 1,256 people immediately alongside it would completely change the scale and character of the area.

I therefore strongly object to the application and ask that the proposal is refused.

Yours faithfully,

Jamie Bayliss

[redacted]